Vol.12 No. 1, Page No. 68–72 (2023) Received: January, 2023; Accepted: March, 2023

# The Yield, Nutrients Uptake and Quality parameters of Chickpea as Affected by Phosphorus and Zinc Application

Ravindra Kumar, Devendra Singh and Arvind Kumar

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry Division of Soil Science KVK, Kannauj C. S. Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur (U.P.)-208002

### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during 2020-21 and 2021-22 at Student Instumental Farm of C. S. Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur with RGV-203 variety of chickpea was taken as test crop. The maximum pod/plant 61.26 and 60.89, grain/pod 1.73 and 1.71, test weight 20.20 gram and 19.82 gram, grain yield 18.85 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 18.24 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, straw yield 23.25 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 22.96 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, biological yield 42.20 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 41.20 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, harvest index 44.67 % and 44.27 % and protein concentration in grain (20.9 %) and (20.87 %) was recorded with the combined application of P (60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Zn (9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) respectively. The highest uptake of nitrogen was recorded under P (60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Zn (9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) over control treatment by grain and stover of chickpea were 63.15 and 33.16 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The uptake of phosphorus in grain and stover increased with P (60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Zn (9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) to the tune of 51.8 and 47.1 % respectively over P<sub>0</sub> and Zn<sub>0</sub> level. The maximum uptake of K by the crop was recorded with the application of P (60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Zn (9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Zn (

Keywords : Phosphorus, Zinc, Chickpea, Yield, Nutrient uptake, Quality parameters.

### Introduction

Phosphorus is essential for energy on version and metabolic processes. It is known to be associated with nitrogen fixation. It promotes root development. Phosphorus by promoting more extensive and deeper root growth enables the crop to grow the water from deeper layers, which may be out of reach unfertile crop due to its shallower root system. Zinc is require for the proper functioning of various metabolic processes it is necessary for chlorophyll carbohydrate production. **Materials methods** 

A field experiment was conducted during 2020-21 and 2021-22 at SIF Farm

Several enzyme system, auxin and protein synthesis, seed formation and maturity rate all require zinc, either directly or indirectly. Zinc is known to help with RNA synthesis, which is required for protein production. In the plant zinc is nottrans located. As a result, symptom occur first on the plant younger leafs and other sections. It is therefore, the present study was undertaken to the effect of phosphorus and zinc levels on yield, nutrients uptake and quality parameters of chickpea.

of C. S. Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur. It is located on

25<sup>°</sup> 18 N' latitude, 83<sup>°</sup> 03 E' longitude and 80.71 meters above mean sea level. The total rainfall received during the crop growing period was 15.90 mm. The experimental soil had pH 8.2, EC 0.63 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, organic carbon 0.34 %, available N 195, P 12.5, K 142.6, S 0.46 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and zinc 11.57 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. The experiment was carried out in the factorial randomized block design with sixteen treatments replicated three times. The treatments were each four levels of Phosphorus (0, 20, 40 and 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and zinc (0, 3, 6 and 9 kg)ha<sup>-1</sup>). The RGV-203 variety of chickpea was taken as test crop. The full dose of nitrogen and potash were applied at the time of sowing. Phosphorus and zinc were applied as per treatments. The N, P, K and zinc were applied through urea, SSP, **Results and discussion** 

## Yield and yield attributes

The yield and yield attributing characters of chickpea were significantly influenced with the application of different treatments (Table-1). The pod/plant ranged from 45.00 to 61.26 with the mean value of 54.99, grain/pod 120 to 173 with the mean value of 1.49, test weight 16.96 to 20.20 gram with the mean value of 18.14 gram, grain yield 12.25 to 18.85 q ha<sup>-1</sup> with the mean value of 15.44q ha<sup>-1</sup>, stover yield 17.16 to 23.25 q  $ha^{-1}$  with the mean value of 20.23 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, biological yield 29.41 to 42.29 q  $ha^{-1}$  with the mean value of35.69 q ha-1 and harvest index from 41.65 to 44.67 percent with the mean value of 43.14 percent with the application of differentphosphorus and zinc levels. The maximum pod/plant 61.26 and 60.89, grain/pod 1.73 and 1.71, test weight 20.20 gram and 19.82 gram, grain yield 18.85 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 18.24 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, straw yield 23.25 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and 22.96 q ha<sup>-1</sup>, biological yield 42.20 g ha<sup>-1</sup> and 41.20 g ha<sup>-1</sup> and harvest index 44.67 % and 44.27 % was recorded with the combined application of P (60 kg muraite of potash and zinc sulphate respectively. The uniform irrigation was applied at pre flowering and pod filling stages. The agronomical practices were applied as per requirement of the crop. The data of yield and growth parameters was recorded at the time of crop maturity. The soil samples were analyzed for EC, pH and organic carbon analyzed with standard procedure. The available Ν was determined by alkaline per magnate method as described by <sup>[5]</sup>. The available P was extracted with 0.5 ml NaHCO3<sup>[3]</sup>. The available K was determined by flame photometer. The available S determined by Turbidimetric method described by <sup>[1]</sup> and available Zn was determined by DTPA extraction.

 $ha^{-1}$ )+ Zn (9 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ) and P (40 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ) and  $(6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ . Respectively due to Zn maximum nodulation and development of roots of chickpea and minimum in P (0 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ) + Zn (0 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ) control plot. The yield and growth parameters increased with the combined use of phosphorus and zinc were found non-significant because P and Zn are antagonistic to each other. The similar results were reported by Yadav et al. (2022). The pod/plant (26.5 %) and (26.1) %), grain/pod (30.6 %) and (29.8 %), test weight (16.0 %) and (14.4 %), grain yield (35.0 %) and (32.8 %), straw yield (26.2 %) and (25.3 %), biological yield (30.3 %) and (28.6 %) and harvest index (6.76 %) and (5.92 %) with the combined application of P (60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)+ Zn (9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and P (40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Zn (6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) respectively over P (0) + Zn plot.The results (0)control are in accordance with <sup>[7]</sup>. The not remarkable responses with the application of other treatments.

## **Quality parameters**

The protein concentration in grain of chickpea were significantly influenced with the application of different treatments (Table-1). The protein concentration in grain ranged from 19.56 to 20.68 % with the mean value of 20.28 % with the application of different phosphorus and zinc levels. The maximum protein concentration in grain (20.9 %) and (20.87 %) was recorded with the combined application of P (60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)+ Zn (9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) <sup>1</sup>) and P (40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) + Zn (6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) minimumprotein The respectively. concentration in grain was recorded with P (0) + Zn (0) control treatment. The results are in accordance with <sup>[6]</sup>. The highest protein concentration in grain (6.54 %) the was recorded with combined application of P (60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)+ Zn (9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) <sup>1</sup>) over P (0) + Zn (0) control plot.

 Table 1 Effect of phosphorus and zinc levels on yield and yield attributing characters of chickpea (mean of two years)

Treatments	Pod/plant	Grain/pod	Test weight (g)	Grain yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biological yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	H.I. (%)	Protein concentration in grain (%)
T <sub>1</sub>	45.00	1.20	16.96	12.25	17.16	29.41	41.65	19.56
T <sub>2</sub>	47.21	1.27	17.42	12.52	17.35	29.8/7	41.91	19.68
T <sub>3</sub>	52.21	1.36	17.65	13.76	18.72	32.48	42.36	19.94
$T_4$	48.43	1.31	17.53	12.87	17.81	30.68	41.95	19.81
T <sub>5</sub>	50.12	1.32	17.61	13.24	18.25	31.49	42.05	19.87
T <sub>6</sub>	54.21	1.48	17.71	14.86	19.65	34.51	43.05	20.18
T <sub>7</sub>	55.18	1.49	17.87	15.34	20.12	35.46	43.26	20.25
T <sub>8</sub>	53.42	1.43	17.68	14.25	19.10	33.35	42.73	20.06
T <sub>9</sub>	56.21	1.52	17.94	15.77	20.46	36.23	43.53	20.37
T <sub>10</sub>	59.87	1.62	18.12	16.96	21.78	38.74	43.78	20.62
T <sub>11</sub>	60.89	1.71	19.82	18.24	22.96	41.20	44.27	20.87
T <sub>12</sub>	58.13	1.57	18.10	16.71	21.34	38.65	43.91	20.56
T <sub>13</sub>	57.32	1.55	18.03	16.10	20.95	37.05	43.45	20.44
T <sub>14</sub>	60.38	1.69	19.11	17.65	22.54	40.19	43.92	20.75
T <sub>15</sub>	61.26	1.73	20.20	18.85	23.25	42.20	44.67	20.93
T <sub>16</sub>	60.12	1.65	18.42	17.71	22.24	39.55	43.76	20.68
CD at 5%	2.17	0.97	1.64	1.52	1.58	2.11	2.42	1.68

## Nutrients uptake

The uptake of nutrients by seed and stover of chickpea were significantly influenced with the application of different treatments (Table-2). The nutrients uptake of N, P, K and Zn varied from 38.34 to 63.15, 5.63 to 12.25, 6.49 to 13.25 and 24.79 to 49.91 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in grain and from 20.59 to 33.16, 3.77 to 7.47, 28.48 to 43.66 and 28.17 to 52.67 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in stover with the mean value of 50.18, 8.89, 9.57 and 36.57 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in grain and 25.65, 5.50, 35.63 and 39.95 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in stover of chickpea respectively with the application

zinc levels increased the nitrogen uptake by grain and stover over control plot. The uptake of nitrogen was highest under P (60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)+ Zn (9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) over control treatment by grain and stover of chickpea were 63.15 and 33.16 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. This increase may be attributed to

of different phosphorus and zinc levels. The maximum uptake of N, P, K and Zn

with the application of P (60 kg  $ha^{-1}$ )+ Zn

 $(9 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$  followed by P  $(40 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}) + \text{Zn}$ 

(6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)and minimum incontrol plot.

Application of different phosphorus and

increased nitrogen concentration and grain and stover vield. The uptake of phosphorus in grain and stover increased with P (60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)+ Zn (9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) to the tune of 51.8 and 47.1 % respectively over  $P_0$  and  $Zn_0$  level. The beneficial role of phosphorus and zinc might have accrued from chlorophyll synthesis and stimulatory effect on most of physiological and metabolic processes. This might have helped the plants in increased absorption of nutrients from soil. The similar results were reported by Singh and Singh (2012). The maximum phosphorus uptake by grain 12.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and stover 7.47 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded under combined application of P  $(60 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ + Zn (9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Increase in phosphorus content and yield of chickpea increase resulted in significant in phosphorus uptake by chickpea. The results are in accordance with <sup>[4]</sup>. The maximum uptake of K by the crop was recorded with the application of P (60 kg  $ha^{-1}$ )+ Zn (9 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ) treatment. The highest uptake of zinc by grain 49.91 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and straw 52.67 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>was recorded with the combined application of P (60 kg  $ha^{-1}$ )+ Zn (9 kg  $ha^{-1}$ ) may be due to increase in zinc content and yield.

Table 2 Effect of phosphorus and zinc levels on nutrients uptake by chickpea kg ha-1(mean of two years)

Treatments		Gr	ain		Straw				
1 i catiliciitis	Ν	Р	K	Zn	Ν	Р	K	Zn	
T <sub>1</sub>	38.34	5.63	6.49	24.79	20.59	3.77	28.48	28.17	
T <sub>2</sub>	39.44	6.01	6.76	26.10	20.99	3.99	28.97	28.88	
T <sub>3</sub>	43.89	7.30	7.70	29.92	23.59	4.68	32.01	33.49	
T <sub>4</sub>	40.80	6.31	7.08	27.18	21.90	4.09	29.92	30.18	
T <sub>5</sub>	42.10	6.75	7.41	28.41	22.63	4.38	30.84	31.66	
T <sub>6</sub>	48.00	8.32	8.47	33.80	25.74	5.11	34.19	37.04	
T <sub>7</sub>	49.70	8.89	8.90	35.75	26.56	4.43	35.21	39.07	
T <sub>8</sub>	45.74	7.69	8.12	31.66	24.63	4.97	33.04	34.95	
T9	51.41	9.30	9.35	37.62	27.41	5.73	35.80	40.67	
T <sub>10</sub>	55.96	10.34	11.14	42.57	30.27	6.53	38.98	46.52	
T <sub>11</sub>	60.92	11.67	12.76	47.60	32.37	7.12	42.47	50.78	
T <sub>12</sub>	54.97	10.19	11.25	41.25	29.45	6.19	37.98	44.68	
T <sub>13</sub>	52.64	9.66	10.26	38.83	28.49	5.86	37.08	42.75	
T <sub>14</sub>	58.59	11.12	12.32	45.67	31.55	6.98	41.25	49.56	
T <sub>15</sub>	63.15	12.25	13.25	49.91	33.16	7.47	43.66	52.67	
T <sub>16</sub>	57.29	10.73	11.87	44.10	31.14	6.67	40.25	48.19	
CD at 5%	2.31	1.47	1.43	1.37	2.37	1.62	1.57	1.46	

## References

- Chesnin, L. and Yeien C. H. (1950). Turbidimetric determination of available sulphate, Soil Science Am. Proc. 15: 149-51.
- Jackson, M. L. (1973). Soil Chemical Analyses Pentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Dalhi, PP.1–485.
- Olsen, S. R., Cole, C. V., Watanable, F. S. Dean, L. A. (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in Soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA Cric. 930: 19-23.
- 4. Pathak, S, Namdeo K. N, Chakrawarti, V. K. and Tiwari, R. K. (2003). Effect

of diammonium bio fertilizers. phosphate sulphate and zinc on nutrients content and uptake of chickpea. Crop Research, 26(1): 47-52.

- 5. Subbias, B.V. Asija, C.L. (1956). A rapid procedure for the estimation of available N in Soil. *Current Science*, 25:259-60.
- 6. Singh, D. and Singh H. (2012). Effect of phosphorus and zinc nutrition on yield, nutrient uptake and quality of

ckickpea. Annals Plant Soil Research, 14 (1): 71-74.

 Yadav, A., Singh, D., Kumar, R., Sachan, R., Kumar, K., Singh, A., Tiwari, A. and Singh K. K(2022). Response of different level of phosphorus, zinc and rhizobium inoculation on growth, yield attributes and yield of chickpea. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, 12 (11): 1954-1964